## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

WENGLER SENSORS, LTD.,

Case No. 3:11-CV-159

Plaintiff,

Judge Thomas M. Rose

-V-

DIETER BAUER, et al.,

Defendants.

## ENTRY AND ORDER FINDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT (Doc. #7) MOOT

This matter is before the Court on a Motion To Dismiss the original Complaint. This Motion To Dismiss was filed by the Defendants Dieter Baur and Barbara Baur on December 9, 2011. (Doc. #7.) Therein the Defendants argue that this matter should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. Rule Civ. P. 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(2) for lack of subject-matter and personal jurisdiction.

The original Complaint asserted subject-matter jurisdiction based upon diversity of citizenship. Wengler responded to Defendants' Motion To Dismiss its original Complaint by filing its Amended Complaint that adds a federal claim and asserts subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, the federal question jurisdictional statute. Wengler also filed a Response to the Motion To Dismiss the original Complaint (doc. #9) that argues that this Motion is moot because Defendants' arguments regarding subject-matter jurisdiction are now inapplicable and because it has filed an Amended Complaint (doc. #8).

In response, the Defendants have now filed a Motion To Dismiss the Amended

Complaint. Thus, Defendants' Motion To Dismiss the original Complaint is now moot, and their

Motion To Dismiss the Amended Complaint will be briefed in accordance with the applicable

standards.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio this Eighteenth day of January, 2012.

	s/Thomas M. Rose
	THOMAS M. ROSE
UN	NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record